Case 4:23-mj-71263-MAG Document 6 Filed 08/28/23 Page 1 of 1

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

II. '- 10' C.) Case No. <u>23 - MJ - 71</u> 263
United States of America,) Case No. <u>Z3 / 3 / 3 </u>
Plaintiff,	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME
V) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Pay Gilbert Defendant(s).)
continuance outweigh the best interest of the pul $3161(h)(7)(A)$. The court makes this finding and	and on 8/28/23, the court excludes time under the Speedy /23 and finds that the ends of justice served by the blic and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. \$10 de bases this continuance on the following factor(s): AUG 28 2023
	NORTH DISTRICT
defendants, the nature of the or law, that it is unreasonable to each	plex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of fact one prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial ished by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	uld deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, f due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	uld unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given ammitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
	uld unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time n, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
disposition of criminal cases, the paragraph and — based on the pa the time limits for a preliminary hextending the 30-day time period	t, and taking into account the public interest in the prompt court sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first rties' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending learing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the ed. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
IT IS SO ORDERED.	\mathcal{A}
DATED: 8/28/23	DONNA M. RYU
	United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: Elisa Em	At Das
Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney